



E-RESOURCES AND STATEWIDE COLLECTION DEVELOPMENT

JOHN STEPHENS, GALILEO



GOALS FOR TODAY

For you

- Find out more about how GALILEO resources are structured
- Find out more about of current methods and policies
- A chance to let us know about your collection development needs

For me

- Get a better sense of what our priorities should be for current resources
- Look for better ways to collect requests and solicit feedback
- Check interest for new areas and methods for collection development
- Increase transparency

WHAT RESOURCES ARE WE TALKING ABOUT?

Statewide Resources

- Large multidisc aggregate content
- Funded by GALILEO and communities
- Under purview of GALSTEER and the E-Resources Committee

Group Resources

- Resources tailored for entire communities
- Funded centrally by the community
- Assessed by each leadership of each groups

Individual Resources

- Specialized and subject specific resources
- Usually limited to current statewide and group vendors
- Paid by individual public and higher ed
- Assessment left to each library

WHAT ARE OUR CURRENT POLICIES?

GOAL 2 –E-Resources/Content

Provide a robust set of electronic resources (open and licensed) that meet the lifelong learning needs of all Georgians, leveraging local and statewide purchasing power.

GALILEO will provide a **collaborative, efficient** approach to managing the content of its statewide and stakeholder-specific e-resource portfolios, including open and **licensed** content. A committee will be in place that will analyze usage and other metrics, make recommendations on selection/deselection, and help communicate the value of these resources to other stakeholders and funders. A robust set of core E-Resources will be available to level the playing field for all Georgians. Statewide purchasing power will be **further leveraged** to license stakeholder-specific content, with options available for individual access to those resources via ILL or other methods. Local purchasing power will be increased through the expansion and improvement of the opt-in cost share process. Discovery of E-Resources will be increased through user interface, personalization and authentication improvements. Communication and partnership between stakeholder groups will be improved through technology such as Electronic Resource Management (ERM) tools.

WHAT ARE OUR CURRENT POLICIES?

Licensing Requirements

- Most significant is that we generally need one year agreements that do not automatically renew

Library Specific Terms

- Broad definitions of authorized users, including walk-ins
- ILL, course reserve, and scholarly sharing

Other Areas

- Accessibility and VPATS
- Data mining, etc.?

WHAT HAVE WE DONE RECENTLY?

- Established an E-Resources Committee under GALSTEER that provides early feedback on offers, evaluates current resources, and organizes assessment
- E-Resources survey for each community type
- Provide cost/use reports for each community
- Currently implementing ConsortiaManager to improve costshare process

WHAT DO WE NEED TO CHANGE OR DO BETTER?

- Do we need a more detailed collection development policy?
- Should we start to shift from subscription access, or keep playing to this strength?
- What kind of information should we be gathering to assess needs?
- How should we develop for open access content? Access, organization, creation?
- What content do we need?
- ???